## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. <u>5:21-mj-70620-MAG</u>	
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	Е
Daniel Barili	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1	
Defendant(s).	)	
Trial Act from 12/03/2021 to 01/25/2022 continuance outweigh the best interest of the pu	on 12/03/2021, the court excludes time under the and finds that the ends of justice served by the ic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	e
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number expression, or the existence of novel questions appect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii)	s of fact he trial
	ld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counse due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	:1,
<u> </u>	ld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsimmitments, taking into account the exercise of due dilig	_
	Id unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	e
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the p the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period	and taking into account the public interest in the prompourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in ties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and or an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on d. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	the first tending d for
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Danam	
DATED: 12/06/2021		
	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge	
STIPULATED: /s/ AFPD Dejan Gantar	/s/ AUSA Jeffrey A. Backhus	
Attorney for Defendan	Assistant United States Attorney	